



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

W150 9599 EXAMINER
EXAMINER
PRIETO, BEATRIZ
UNIT PAPER NUMBER
42

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/473,103	GHANWANI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Prieto B.	2142		

before the Filling of all Appear brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Prieto B.	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
		•			
<ul> <li>I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may replace any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	diamas with 27 OFD 44 27 must be	والمستمين منتاج مالطالين المساط	a af the dete of		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
· ·	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 17</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
,					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to the claim to more particularly highlight the distinction (remarks p. 8) that multi-protocol label switching is performed without the use of multi-protocol label stack and where the first label is in a single label stack, raises new issues that require more than nominal consideration. A request for continued prosecution is respectfully suggested. Applicant is further recommended to review MPEP 2173.05 (i) with respect to the proposed added negative limitation enclosed in this after-final amendment to ensure that it complies with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, applicant is urged to provide supportive disclosure to obviate this posibility. Applicant's remarks (p. 11) have been noted and the application will be given full consideration and effort to accelerate its prosecution as most as possible.

BEATRIZ PRIETO PRIMARY EXAMINER